



Genomic vagabonds: Endogenous retroviruses and placental evolution

Citation

Haig, David. 2013. Genomic Vagabonds: Endogenous Retroviruses and Placental Evolution. *BioEssays* 35, no. 10: 845-846. doi:10.1002/bies.201300099.

Published Version

doi:10.1002/bies.201300099

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:27867247>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Genomic vagabonds: endogenous retroviruses and placental evolution

David Haig

Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology,

Harvard University,

26 Oxford Street, Cambridge MA 02138.

dhaig@oeb.harvard.edu

Endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) appear to have a particular predilection for placentas: retroviral genes have repeatedly been coopted for placental functions. In this issue, Chuong draws attention to the important contribution of retroviral promoters in rewiring placental development [1]. He proposes that trophoblast cells provide a permissive epigenetic environment for ERV activity because placental development has become ‘addicted’ to transcription from ERV-derived promoters. In this view, adaptations to shut down ERVs in trophoblast cells are unable to evolve because they would also inactivate key developmental pathways that use retroviral-LTRs as promoters. In a process of positive feedback, past cooption of LTRs favors continued ERV activity that predisposes to new cooption of LTRs and reinforcement of the addiction. This recursive process could help to explain the extraordinary diversity of placental structures among eutherian mammals.

By definition, ERVs are inserted into germline DNA and inherited by every cell of the body. They can be transmitted vertically by replication with host DNA at existing loci

or horizontally by insertion at new loci in the soma or germline of the same or other organisms. At each locus where it is inserted, an ERV is subject to the same selective forces as surrounding DNA, including selection to suppress horizontal transmission. Thus, vertical transmission selects for defective retroviruses but horizontal transmission selects for transposition-competent retroviruses. Because the horizontal and vertical ‘fitnesses’ of ERVs are negatively correlated, mutations that reduce transposition will accumulate at existing loci at the same time as mutations that enhance transposition and infection relocate to new loci.

ERV sequences that exist at high frequency at a particular locus have proliferated by vertical transmission. Such sequences must provide a host advantage or be the lucky beneficiaries of drift (random sampling in small populations) or draft (hitchhiking with a nearby positively selected site). High-frequency ERVs are unlikely to have strong negative effects on host fitness and are unlikely to encode infectious retroviruses. For an ERV to have maintained adaptations for vertical transmission, its ancestors must have constantly changed location to keep one step ahead of inactivating mutations. At each particular locus, disease-causing ERVs will be rare and rapidly eliminated by natural selection.

Placental expression would be adaptive for ERVs if it enhanced their horizontal transmission. One intriguing possibility is that ERVs inherited via sperm and released from placentas could infect mothers or siblings [2]. Such a route of contagion could be mediated by classical retroviral particles or by exosomes released into the maternal circulation to fuse with maternal cells. Two recent papers report that syncytin 1, encoded by a domesticated retroviral *envelope* gene, is present on the surface of human placental exosomes [3, 4] and may facilitate fusion with maternal cells. In addition to a possible

cargo of ERVs, placental exosomes have been shown to carry imprinted (paternally-expressed) microRNAs [5] that may modify maternal physiology for fetal benefit.

The ‘parasitic’ lineage that is an infectious ERV must constantly change location, leaving new host DNA behind at each step along the way. Much of this incremental DNA will be deleterious and rapidly eliminated by natural selection. However, the occasional insertions that provide new host functions will be retained. Such rare events may be an evolutionarily important consequence of retroviral activity, but they are not the *raison d’être* of that activity.

1. **Chuong E.** 2013. Retroviruses facilitate the rapid evolution of the mammalian placenta. *BioEssays* **35**: in press.
2. **Haig D.** 2012. Retroviruses and the placenta. *Curr Biol* 22: R609–R613.
3. **Holder BS, Tower CL, Forbes K, Mulla MJ,** et al. 2012. Immune cell activation by trophoblast-derived microvesicles is mediated by syncytin 1. *Immunology* **136**: 184–91.
4. **Tolosa JM, Schjenken JE, Clifton VL, Vargas A,** et al. 2012. The endogenous retroviral envelope protein syncytin-1 inhibits LPS/PHA-stimulated cytokine responses in human blood and is sorted into placental exosomes. *Placenta* **33**: 933–41.
5. **Donker RB, Mouillet JF, Chu T, Hubel CA,** et al. 2012. The expression profile of C19MC microRNAs in primary human trophoblast cells and exosomes. *Mol Hum Reprod* **18**: 417–424.